UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,430	03/01/2004	Thomas R. Magnuson	34743/0004	5397
•	7590 02/21/2007 H, HOLLAND & ALLE		EXAM	INER
555 CAPITOL			ALIE, GH	HASSEM
9TH FLOOR SACRAMENT	O, CA 95814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/21/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		·	/A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Jr
	10/791,430	MAGNUSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ghassem Alie	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M atute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 December 2006:		
•	his action is non-final.	•	!
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		,
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 41,43,44 and 46-55 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 41,43,44 and 46-55 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			•
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam		•	•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2006</u> is/ar			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			14747
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	w Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## Specification

The amendment filed 05/03/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it 1. introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: added Figs. 6 and 7 and its description on paragraphs 18-20 in specification are not supported by the original disclosure. The original disclosure fails to teach the exact location of the brake release handle on a chain saw. The original disclosure fails to teach a chain saw that looks like the chain saw in Figs. 6-7. The original disclosure also fails to teach that the brake release handle is simply connected to the exterior of the housing of the chain saw by a single screw, as shown in Figs. 6-7. It should be noted that a brake release handle should pivotally engage the brake mechanism within the housing of the chain saw such as shown in Schurr (4,683,660). The original disclosure also does not teach that the light source illuminate the chain saw in a manner as shown in Fig. 6. Fig. 6 shows that the light beams overlapped in a manner that the middle beam project further behind the upper and lower beams in front of the chain saw machine. This has not been disclosed in the original disclosure. In addition, it is not clear how the brake lever can function, if securing means or fastening means simply secures the brake lever to the exterior of the housing. Applicant argued that the original specification teaches that the chain saw in Schurr (4,683,660) is an appropriate example for such a chain saw assembly that could be modified to have a light source. Therefore, it is suggested that the chain saw in Schurr to be used as an exemplary view of the chain saw that has a light source as descriped

Application/Control Number: 10/791,430

Art Unit: 3724

in the instant application. In this case, the brake lever in the instant application could replace the brake lever in Schurr.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 44 and 46, "the translucent material" lacks insufficient antecedent basis.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all Obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patent ability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 41, 43-44, 46-51, and 54-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casas (2002/0054491 A1) in view of Overy et al. (4,335,514), hereinafter Overy, and in further view of Richards et al. ((2004,0050188), hereinafter Richards.

  Regarding claim 41, Casas teaches a motor chain saw 4 having a handle or a handguard attached to the housing of the motor chain saw 4. Casas also teaches that the handguard or handle having a front wall and a rear wall and a light source 6. See Fig. 3 and paragraph 19 in Casas. Casas also teaches that the light source 6 is secured to the handguard or handle and is

Application/Control Number: 10/791,430

Art Unit: 3724

adapted to emanate from the front wall. It should be noted that the light source 6 is part of the front wall of the handle or handguard.

Casas does not explicitly teach that the handle or handguard is also a brake release handle that operatively is connected to a braking mechanism and the front wall includes plexiglass.

However, Overy teaches that a handguard, similar to the handguard shown in Casas, which is part of a braking mechanism. Overy teaches a braking mechanism 34 that includes a brake lever 36 which is also a handguard 40 and is located in front of a front handle 42. See Figs. 1-5 and col. 2, lines 9-56 in Overy. It should be noted that the brake lever 36 in Overy also includes an upper portion that is handguard 40 and is located in front of the front handle, the same place that the handguard with a light source is located in Casas. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the handguard in Casas' chain saw also as a brake release that is connected to a braking mechanism, as taught by Overy, in order to pivot the handguard and stop the rotation of the saw chain when is desired.

Casas, as modified above, does not explicitly teach that the light source has a cover or a front wall that includes plexiglass. However, the use of plexiglass with a light source in tools is well known in the art such as taught in Richards. Richards teaches a light source 30 includes a front wall or a cover 62 that comprises of a translucent or transparent material such as plexiglass, glass, polycarbonate, or another type of translucent or transparent material. See Figs. 1-3 and paragraph 27 in Richards. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide the light source in Casas' chain saw, as modified by Overy, with the translucent cover or front wall that includes plexiglass, as taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/791,430

Art Unit: 3724

Richards, in order to cover the light source with translucent or transparent material that emanate the light and protects the light source.

Regarding claims 43-44 and 46 Casas, as modified by Richards, teaches everything noted above including that the front wall is a cover 62 that reversibly is attached to the brake release handle. It should be noted that the cover 62 can be revered and attached to the handle. See Fig. 1 Richards. In addition, in addition to the degree that it could be argued that the cover 62 cannot be revered, an Official Notice is taken that the use of reversible cover for a light source is well known in the art. Casas, as modified by Richards, also teaches that the translucent material 62 is plastic. It should be noted that the plexiglass is a trademark for thermoplastic poly (methylmethacrylate) type polymers which considered to be plastic. See col. 7, lines 25-35 in Budde et al. (4,774,637). Casas, as modified by Richards, also teaches that the translucent material is glass.

Regarding claims 47, 48, and 50, Casas, as modified by Overy, teaches that the light source mounting means or a plate 10 is affixed to the brake release. Casas does not explicitly teach that the plate is located within the brake release handle and is attached to an interior surface of the rear wall. However, Richards teaches that the light source 30 is affixed to a plate attached to a rear wall 56 and is located within the handle. It should be noted that the rear plate that holds LEDs attached to the interior surface of the rear wall 56. It should be also noted that the handle 18 includes an upper portion 17 that also considered being a portion of the handle. See Fig. 3 in Richards. It should have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to attached the plate of the light source in Casas' chain saw, as modified by Overy, to an interior surface of the rear wall in the handle, as taught by

Art Unit: 3724

Richards, since the light source works the same whether it is attached to the front wall or the rear wall of the release handle, and in both cases light emanates from the front wall of the handle. There is no criticality in the manner that the light source is attached to the handle. The light source functions in the same manner whether is attached to an interior surface of the rear wall or in front on the front wall.

Regarding claims 54-55, Cases, as modified by Overy, teaches everything noted above except that the brake release handle further includes a switch for activating the light source and the switch is located in the rear wall. However, Richards teaches that a switch 70 attached to the rear wall 17 of the handle for activating the light source. See Fig. 3 and paragraph 46 in Richards. It should have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide Casas' chain saw, as modified by Overy, with a switch, as taught by Richards, in order to easily access the activation switch of the light source and activate the light source when is needed.

Claims 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casas in view of Overy and Richards, as applied to claim 49, and in further view Of Kopras et al. (6,443,675), hereinafter Kopras. Regarding claims 52 and 53, Casas, as modified above, does not teach explicitly that the light source includes two or more light emitting diodes. However, the use of two or more light emitting diodes to illuminate a front area of a cutting tool is well known in the art such as taught by Kopras. Kopras teaches a hand-held cutting tool 20 including a light source 130 for illuminating the front area of the cutting tool. Kopras also teaches that the light source includes two or more light emitting diodes. See Fig. 11 and col. 18, lines 26-67 in Kopras. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

art to provide the light source in Casas' chain saw, as modified above, with light emitting diodes, as taught by Kopras, in order to improve the visibility of the workpiece at the point of a cut being made.

# Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41, 43-4, and 46-55 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's argument that the drawings should not be objected since a motor chain saw as set forth in claim 41 is well known in the art such as the chain saw described in Schurr (4,683,660) is not persuasive. As stated in the pervious Office Action, drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. In this case, a motor chain saw set forth in claim 41 must be shown. As stated above, it is suggested that the chain saw in Schurr to be used as an exemplary view of the chain saw that has a light source as described in the instant application. In this case, the brake lever in the instant application could replace the brake lever in Schurr.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nagashima (4,793,064) teach a chain saw machine having a brake release.

Cross (6,203,181) and Keech teaches a light source having a front wall including plexiglass.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (571)272-4501. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, SEE <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ghassem Alie
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3724

February 12, 2007